

AGENCY PUBLIC HEARINGS ON CONTRACT AWARDS

NOTE: Individuals requesting Sign Language Interpreters should contact the Mayor’s Office of Contract Services, Public Hearings Unit, 253 Broadway, 9th Floor, New York, N.Y. 10007, (212) 788-7490, no later than SEVEN (7) BUSINESS DAYS PRIOR TO THE PUBLIC HEARING. TDD users should call Verizon relay services.

ADMINISTRATION FOR CHILDREN’S SERVICES

PUBLIC HEARINGS

NOTICE OF CANCELLATION OF PUBLIC HEARING

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a Public Hearing will be held at the Administration for Children’s Services, 150 William Street, 8th Floor – Conference Room 8A, Borough of Manhattan, on September 14, 2012, commencing at 10:00 A.M. on the following:

IN THE MATTER of one (1) proposed contract between the Administration for Children’s Services of the City of New York and Auxiliary Enterprise Board of NYC Technical College, Inc., 300 Jay Street, Brooklyn, NY 11201, for the provision of EarlyLearn NYC services. The term of the contracts will be for four (4) years from October 1, 2012 to September 30, 2016 with a two-year renewal option from October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2018.

The EPIN is 06811P0012155 in the amount of \$1,272,520.20.

The proposed contractor has been selected by means of Competitive Sealed Proposals, pursuant to Section 3-03 of the Procurement Policy Board Rules.

A copy of the draft contract is available for public inspection at the New York City Administration for Children’s Services (ACS), Office of Procurement, 150 William Street, 9th Floor, Borough of Manhattan, on business days from September 5, 2012 through September 14, 2012, exclusive of holidays, between the hours of 10:00 A.M. and 4:00 P.M. Please contact Sherene Hassen of the Office of Procurement at (212) 341-3443 to arrange a visit to review the draft contract.

s13

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

PUBLIC HEARING

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a Public Hearing will be held at the Department of Environmental Protection Offices at 59-17 Junction Boulevard, 17th Floor Conference Room, Flushing, New York, on September 27, 2012 commencing at 10:00 A.M. on the following:

IN THE MATTER OF a proposed contract between the Department of Environmental Protection and the AECOM USA Inc., located at 605 3rd Avenue, New York, NY 10158, for GHG-2012: PLANYC Green House Gas Mitigation Study. The Contract term shall be 1 year from the date of the written notice to proceed. The Contract amount shall be \$690,000.00 - Location: Citywide - E-PIN: 82612N0007.

A copy of the Contract may be inspected at the Department of Environmental Protection, 59-17 Junction Boulevard, Flushing, New York, 11373, on the 17th Floor Bid Room, on business days from September 13, 2012 to September 27, 2012 between the hours of 9:30 A.M. - 12:00 P.M. and from 1:00 P.M. - 4:00 P.M.

Note: Individuals requesting Sign Language Interpreters should contact Ms. Debra Butlien, Office of the ACCO, 59-17 Junction Boulevard, 17th Floor, Flushing, New York 11373, (718) 595-3423, no later than FIVE(5) BUSINESS DAYS PRIOR TO THE PUBLIC HEARING.

s13

AGENCY RULES

BUILDINGS

NOTICE

NOTICE OF ADOPTION OF RULE

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN, pursuant to the authority vested in the Commissioner of the Department of Buildings by Section 643 of the New York City Charter and in accordance with Section 1043 of the Charter, that the Department of Buildings hereby adopts the addition of Section 103-07 to Subchapter C and the amendment of subdivision (j) of section 102-01 of subchapter B of Chapter 100 of Title 1 of the Official Compilation of the Rules of the City of New York, regarding requirements for audits and retrocommissioning.

This rule was first published on February 17, 2012 and a public hearing thereon was held on March 23, 2012.

Dated: 9/5/12 /s/ Robert D. LiMandri Commissioner

Statement of Basis and Purpose

The following rule amendments are proposed pursuant to the authority of the Commissioner of Buildings under Sections 643 and 1043(a) of the New York City Charter.

On December 28, 2009, the Mayor signed local law 87 requiring the owners of covered buildings, as defined in the law, including city-owned buildings, to perform energy audits and retrocommissioning and file energy efficiency reports with the department.

The law also requires the department to specify the information to be contained in the reports. The proposed rule sets out procedures for energy audits, retrocommissioning, and for filing energy efficiency reports. The proposed rule also establishes a penalty for failure to file an energy efficiency report, classifies such failure to file as a class 2 violation, and provides a process to challenge the penalty.

The proposed rule sets out qualifications for energy auditors and retrocommissioning agents along with registration requirements for those individuals who are not registered design professionals.

Section 1. Subchapter C of Chapter 100 of Title 1 of the Rules of the City of New York is amended by adding a new section 103-07 to read as follows:

§103-07 Energy audits and retro-commissioning of base building systems

(a) Purpose. This section sets forth the basic requirements for performing energy audits and retro-commissioning on certain buildings 50,000 square feet or more in floor area and submitting the associated Energy Efficiency Report (“EER”) in accordance with article 308 of chapter 3 of title 28 of the administrative code, and establishes violations for failing to submit an EER.

(b) References. Article 308 of Chapter 3 of Title 28 of the New York City Administrative Code (“Article 308”); American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-conditioning Engineers Inc. (“ASHRAE”) Procedures for Commercial Building Energy Audits, 2011 edition.

(c) Approved agency qualifications. Individuals with relevant experience are deemed approved agencies pursuant to this section for the purpose of conducting energy audits and retro-commissioning of base building systems.

(1) Energy auditor qualifications. The energy auditor performing or supervising the audit may not be on the staff of the building being audited and must meet the qualifications of either subparagraph (i) or (ii).

(i) The energy auditor must be a registered design professional, and the energy auditor or an individual under the direct supervision of the energy auditor must be one of the following:

- (A) a New York State Energy Research and Development Authority- (NYSERDA) approved Flex Tech consultant;
- (B) a Certified Energy Manager (CEM) or Certified Energy Auditor (CEA), certified by the Association of Energy Engineers (AEE);
- (C) a High-Performance Building Design Professional (HPBD) certified by ASHRAE;
- (D) a Building Energy Assessment Professional (BEAP) certified by ASHRAE; or
- (E) for audits of multifamily residential buildings only, a Multifamily Building Analyst (MFBA), certified by the Building Performance Institute (BPI).

(ii) The energy auditor must be an individual registered with the department and must be one of the following:

- (A) a Certified Energy Manager (CEM) or Certified Energy Auditor (CEA), certified by the Association of Energy Engineers (AEE);
- (B) a High-Performance Building Design Professional (HPBD) certified by ASHRAE;
- (C) a Building Energy Assessment Professional (BEAP) certified by ASHRAE; or
- (D) for audits of multifamily residential buildings only, a Multifamily Building Analyst (MFBA), certified by the Building Performance Institute (BPI).

(2) Retro-commissioning agent qualifications. The retro-commissioning agent performing or supervising the retro-commissioning may not be on the staff of the building being retro-commissioned and must meet the qualifications of either subparagraph (i) or (ii).

(i) The retro-commissioning agent must be a registered design professional, a certified

Refrigerating System Operating Engineer, or a licensed High Pressure Boiler Operating Engineer. In addition, the retro-commissioning agent or an individual under the direct supervision of the retro-commissioning agent must be one of the following:

- (A) a Certified Commissioning Professional (CCP) certified by the Building Commissioning Association (BCA);
- (B) a Certified Building Commissioning Professional (CBCP) certified by the AEE;
- (C) an Existing Building Commissioning Professional (EBCP) as certified by the AEE;
- (D) a Commissioning Process Management Professional (CPMP) certified by ASHRAE; or
- (E) an Accredited Commissioning Process Authority Professional (ACPAP) approved by the University of Wisconsin.

(ii) The retro-commissioning agent performing or supervising the retro-commissioning must be an individual registered with the department and must be one of the following:

- (A) a Certified Commissioning Professional (CCP) certified by the Building Commissioning Association (BCA);
- (B) a Certified Building Commissioning Professional (CBCP) certified by the AEE;
- (C) an Existing Building Commissioning Professional (EBCP) as certified by the AEE; or
- (D) a Commissioning Process Management Professional (CPMP) certified by ASHRAE.

(3) Registration.

(i) General. An energy auditor or a retro-commissioning agent who is not a registered design professional must register with the department in accordance with the provisions of this paragraph. No such energy auditor or retro-commissioning agent may perform audits or retro-commissioning without a current registration

(ii) Form and manner of registration. An application for registration must be submitted in a form and manner determined by the commissioner, including electronically, and the applicant must provide such information as the commissioner may require.

(iii) Certifications. All energy auditors or retro-commissioning agents who register with the department must obtain and maintain a current certification from one of the entities listed in subparagraph (ii) of paragraph (1) or subparagraph (ii) of paragraph (2) of this subdivision, as applicable. The certification must be presented to the department upon request.

(iv) Registration term. The term of an initial registration is three (3) years, beginning on the applicant’s birthday following the date of registration, and may be renewed for additional three- (3) year periods after such initial registration.

(v) Registration and renewal fees. Fees will be those set forth in section 101-03 of these rules.

(vi) Renewals. A renewal application must be submitted between sixty (60) and ninety (90) days prior to the expiration date of the registration and must be accompanied by proof that the auditor or agent has, during the one (1) year period immediately preceding renewal, maintained a current certification as set forth in this rule.

(vii) Other applicable provisions. The provisions of sections 28-401.6, 28-401.8 and 28-401.19 of the Administrative Code shall apply to energy auditors and retro-commissioning agents registered pursuant to this paragraph.

(d) Energy Audit Procedures. An energy audit must

<p>be performed on the base building systems of a covered building prior to filing an energy efficiency report. The scope of such energy audit must be at a minimum equivalent to the procedures described for a Level 2 Energy Survey and Analysis in accordance with <i>Procedures for Commercial Building Energy Audits</i>, 2011 edition, published by the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-conditioning Engineers, Inc. (ASHRAE). The building's operations and maintenance staff must be consulted at the start of and during the energy audit process in order to establish the current facility requirements.</p>		
(e)	<p>Contents of Energy Audit Report. An audit report must be prepared for the owner that is at a minimum equivalent to the report prescribed by ASHRAE <i>Procedures for Commercial Building Energy Audits</i>, 2011 edition, and must include the information required by §28-308.2 of the Administrative Code. Such report must be retained by the owner in accordance with subdivision (j) of this section. The energy auditor must certify that the audit satisfies the requirements of §28-308.2 of the Administrative Code and this rule.</p>	
(f)	<p>Retro-commissioning procedures. The base building system components subject to retro-commissioning as per §28-308.3 of the Administrative Code must be assessed in accordance with §28-308.3 of the Administrative Code, including the testing protocols, master list of findings and repairs and deficiencies corrected, and this section. Deficiencies found in the assessment must be corrected as required by this subdivision. Notwithstanding the particular provisions of this subdivision, where less than ninety percent of components tested in the initial sample set is found to be satisfactory, corrections may be made to all similar system components without further testing. The building's operations and maintenance staff must be consulted at the start of and during the retro-commissioning process in order to establish the current facility requirements.</p>	
(1)	<p>Operating protocols, calibration, and sequencing.</p>	
(i)	<p>Heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) system temperature and humidity set points and setbacks. All major system components, such as chillers, boilers, cooling towers, air handlers, or pumps, must be tested to verify that such system set points and setbacks are appropriate to the current facility requirements. Where set points and setbacks require correction, the condition must be corrected and noted on the retro-commissioning report.</p>	
(ii)	<p>HVAC sensors.</p>	
(A)	<p>All critical sensors that are part of a control sequence and have direct control of a major piece of equipment such as a chiller, boiler, pump, or air handling unit of capacity greater than 5,000 cubic feet per minute must be tested for proper calibration. Where sensors require correction, the condition must be corrected and noted on the retro-commissioning report.</p>	
(B)	<p>For monitoring sensors that measure air flow or temperature but are not part of a control sequence, a sample set constituting ten percent of all monitoring sensors, but in no event fewer than ten individual sensors, must be tested for proper calibration. If more than ninety percent of the sample set is found to be satisfactory, then no further sampling is required for the purposes of the retro-commissioning report. If less than ninety percent of the sample set is found to be satisfactory, then all monitoring sensors serving base building systems must be tested for proper calibration. Where sensors require correction, the condition must be corrected and noted on the retro-commissioning report.</p>	
(iii)	<p>HVAC controls. All control sequences and critical controls that are part of a control sequence of a major piece of equipment such as a chiller, boiler, pump, or air handling unit of capacity greater than 5,000 cubic feet per minute must be checked for proper function. Proper function may be determined from interviews with facility staff, through trend analysis, field observation or dedicated data loggers. Where</p>	
(iv)		<p>controls require correction, the condition must be corrected and noted on the retro-commissioning report.</p>
(v)		<p>Load distribution. Fans, boilers, and pumps that are designed to run in parallel on major systems greater than ten horsepower must be tested for proper load distribution across the individual components. Where load distributions require correction, the condition must be corrected and noted on the retro-commissioning report.</p>
(vi)		<p>Ventilation rates. A sample set constituting ten percent of all outdoor air intakes, but in no event fewer than three outdoor air intakes, must be measured to verify that the flow rates are appropriate for the current facility requirements. If more than ninety percent of the sample set is found to be appropriate, then no further sampling is required for the purposes of the retro-commissioning report. If less than ninety percent of the sample set is found to be appropriate, then all outdoor air intakes serving base building systems must be measured. Where flow rates require correction, the condition must be corrected and noted on the retro-commissioning report.</p>
(vii)		<p>System automatic reset functions. For each piece of major equipment, such as chillers, boilers, cooling towers, air handlers, or pumps, at least one energy-related reset function based on temperature or pressure must be tested to verify that the reset function is functioning properly. Where the reset function requires correction, the condition must be corrected and noted on the retro-commissioning report.</p>
(viii)		<p>Adjustments to oversized or undersized equipment. Only major equipment, such as chillers, boilers, cooling towers, air handlers, or pumps, serving base building systems must be required to be adjusted to perform as efficiently as possible for the current facility requirements. Where the equipment requires correction, the condition must be corrected and noted on the retro-commissioning report.</p>
(ix)		<p>Simultaneous cooling and heating. A sample set constituting ten percent of the HVAC system air handling units must be tested to verify that simultaneous heating and cooling is not occurring, unless intended. If the entirety of the sample set is found to be without unintended simultaneous heating and cooling, then no further sampling is required for the purposes of the retro-commissioning report. If any portion of the sample set is found to have unintended simultaneous heating and cooling, then all base building air handling units must be tested for unintended simultaneous heating and cooling. Where unintended simultaneous cooling and heating is occurring, the condition must be corrected and noted on the retro-commissioning report.</p>
(x)		<p>HVAC System Economizer controls. The economizer controls serving all major air handling units with a minimum air circulation capacity of 5,000 cubic feet per minute must be tested for proper functionality through trends or functional testing. Where the economizer controls are found to require correction, the condition must be corrected and noted on the retro-commissioning report.</p>
(x)		<p>HVAC distribution balancing. All major systems that include chillers, boilers, cooling towers, air handlers, or pumps, must be tested for proper balance for current facility requirements. A major system as used in this</p>
		<p>subparagraph means a system that serves more than 10,000 square feet. If the system is found to be out of balance, the condition must be corrected and noted on the retro-commissioning report. System balancing may only be performed by an individual certified in the testing and balancing of HVAC systems by the National Environmental Balancing Bureau (NEBB), the Testing, Adjusting and Balancing Bureau (TABB), or the Associated Air Balance Council (AABC)</p>
		<p>Exceptions:</p>
	1.	<p>if the HVAC distribution has been tested and balanced within the twelve months prior to the reporting date of the retro-commissioning report, then the records of such testing and balancing must be included in the retro-commissioning report and no further testing and balancing will be required.</p>
	2.	<p>if the HVAC distribution has been tested and balanced within the sixty months prior to the reporting date of the retro-commissioning report, then no further testing and balancing is required, provided that all of the following conditions are satisfied:</p> <p>2.1. Space configurations have not been altered to affect the HVAC system since the prior testing and balancing; and</p> <p>2.2. no new equipment has been installed and no existing equipment has been removed during the sixty months since the prior testing and balancing; and</p> <p>2.3. if the major systems are controlled by a Building Management System (BMS), the BMS is monitoring or controlling all relevant equipment; and</p> <p>2.4. if the system is controlled by a BMS, more than ninety percent of the remote sensors, control valves, and control dampers are monitored or controlled by the BMS; and</p> <p>2.5. no piece of equipment is under manual control; and</p> <p>2.6. fewer than ten percent of the diffusers in the system require replacement; and</p> <p>2.7. if the system utilizes a Variable Air Volume (VAV) system, fewer than ten percent of the VAV terminal units are under manual control; and</p> <p>2.8. if the system utilizes economizers, all economizers and economizer controls are fully functioning; and</p> <p>2.9. the system supply air and water temperatures satisfy the current facility requirements.</p>
	3.	<p>If an HVAC system is out of balance but corrective work would be so extensive that it would require a work permit from the department, the condition need not be corrected in connection with the retro-commissioning but may be recommended for examination in connection with the energy audit.</p>
	(xi)	<p>Light levels. A sample set constituting ten percent of the area served by base building lighting systems must be tested to verify that the lighting levels are appropriate for the current facility requirements. The sample set should include areas of different uses. If more than ninety percent of the sample set is found to be within fifteen percent of current facility required lighting levels for a given area, then no further sampling is required for the purposes of the retro-commissioning report. If less than ninety percent of the sample set is found to be within fifteen percent of current facility required lighting levels, then all areas served by the base building lighting system must be tested. Where the light levels are found to require correction, the condition must be corrected</p>

	and noted on the retro-commissioning report.				(B)	A sample set constituting ten percent of all steam traps in areas served by base building system must be tested to verify operation. If more than ninety percent of the sample set is found to be functioning properly, then no further sampling is required for the purposes of the retro-commissioning report. If less than ninety percent of the sample set is found to be functioning properly, then all areas served by the base building steam system must be tested to verify that the steam traps are operational. All steam traps found to be functioning improperly must be replaced, repaired or rebuilt, and the condition must be noted on the retro-commissioning report.
(xii)	Lighting sensors and controls. A sample set constituting ten percent of the area served by base building lighting systems must be checked to verify that the lighting sensors and controls are functioning properly. The sample set should include areas of different uses. If more than ninety percent of the sample set is found to be served by properly functioning sensors and controls, then no further sampling is required for the purposes of the retro-commissioning report. If less than ninety percent of the sample set is found to be served by deficient sensors and controls, then all areas served by the base building lighting system must be checked to verify that the lighting sensors and controls are functioning properly. Where lighting sensors and controls are found to require correction, the condition must be corrected and noted on the retro-commissioning report.			(2) Cleaning and repair.		
			(i)	HVAC equipment. A visual inspection of all accessible HVAC equipment, including vents, ducts, coils, valves, and soot bins must be visually checked for cleanliness where required for proper operation. If within the scope of the visual inspection the equipment is found to require cleaning, then that equipment must be cleaned, and the condition must be noted on the retro-commissioning report.		
			(ii)	Filter cleaning and replacement. A sample set constituting ten percent of filters must be visually checked to verify cleanliness and tested to confirm that the filter is within the manufacturer's recommended pressure drop differential. The retro-commissioning agent must confirm with facility maintenance staff that a replacement protocol is in place for the replacement of filters according to the pressure drop differential or at least as frequently as the manufacturer's recommendation. Where such protocol is not in place, the lack of protocol must be noted as a deficiency to be corrected, and a satisfactory protocol must be developed in order to correct such deficiency and noted on the retro-commissioning report.		
(xiii)	Domestic hot water heater temperature settings. All major hot water heaters serving base building systems must be visually checked to verify that the temperature settings are accurate and are appropriate for the current facility requirements. Where a given base building system is served by multiple domestic hot water heaters, a sample set constituting ten percent of such heaters, but in no event fewer than three domestic hot water heaters, must be visually checked to verify that the temperature settings are appropriate. If more than ninety percent of the sample set is found to be appropriate, then no further sampling is required for the purposes of the retro-commissioning report. If less than ninety percent of the sample set is found to be satisfactory, then all domestic hot water heaters must be visually checked to verify that the temperature settings are appropriate. Where the temperature settings are found to require correction, the condition must be corrected and noted on the retro-commissioning report.		(iii)	Light fixture cleanliness. A sample set constituting ten percent of the area served by base building lighting systems must be visually checked to verify that light fixtures serving such areas are clean. If more than ninety percent of the sample set is found to be clean, then no further sampling is required for the purposes of the retro-commissioning report. If less than ninety percent of the sample set is found to be clean, then all areas served by the base building lighting system must be visually checked to verify that the lighting fixtures are clean. Lighting fixtures requiring cleaning must be cleaned and the condition must be noted on the retro-commissioning report.		
				Exception: Cleaning of lighting fixtures throughout a building for the purposes of retro-commissioning is not required where there is regular maintenance of fixtures and the condition of fixtures is such that gains in energy efficiency from extensive cleaning would be minimal.		
(xiv)	Water pumps. All water pumps greater than ten horsepower, excluding fire pumps, must be tested to verify that the devices are functioning to meet the current facility requirements. Where a pump is found to require correction, the condition must be corrected and noted on the retro-commissioning report.				(viii)	Pipe insulation. All exposed hot and chilled water and steam pipes three inches in diameter and greater and pipe fittings must be visually checked for insulation. Where any such pipes are found not to be insulated, they must be insulated in accordance with the <i>New York City Energy Conservation Code</i> and noted on the retro-commissioning report.
(xv)	Water leaks.					
(A)	All boilers and roof tanks must be visually checked to verify that they are not leaking water.					
(B)	For water distribution lines and makeup water lines including steam distribution, a sample set constituting ten percent of the areas where such lines are exposed must be visually checked to verify that no leaks are present. If the entirety of the sample set is found to be without water leaks, then no further sampling is required for the purposes of the retro-commissioning report. If any portion of the sample set is found to be leaking, then all areas where such water lines are exposed must be visually checked.		(iv)	Operating conditions of motors, fans and pumps. A visual inspection of all motors, fans, or pumps, 5 horsepower and greater, and associated belts, pulleys, and bearings must be performed to determine that such components are in good operating condition. Where any motor, fan, or pump is found to require correction, the condition must be corrected and noted on the retro-commissioning report.	(ix)	Sealants and weather stripping. A visual inspection must be conducted in a sample set constituting ten percent of all accessible locations to confirm that sealants and weather stripping are installed and in good condition. If any portion of the sample set is found to require correction, then all accessible locations must be visually inspected. Where any sealant or weather stripping is found to require correction, the condition must be corrected and noted on the retro-commissioning report.
(C)	For plumbing fixtures, such as faucets, toilets, and showerheads, served by base building systems, a sample set constituting ten percent of the fixtures must be visually checked to verify that they are without water leaks. If the entirety of the sample set is found to be without water leaks, then no further sampling is		(v)	Steam traps.		Exception: Sealants and weather stripping with asbestos. Sealants and weather stripping with asbestos containing materials shall not be required to be removed or replaced for the purposes of the retro-commissioning report. The condition must be noted on the retro-commissioning report and correction of such condition is not required.
		(A)		The retro-commissioning agent must confirm with facility maintenance staff that a protocol is in place for the testing of steam traps and replacement of non-functional steam traps. Where such protocol is not in place, the lack of protocol must be noted as a deficiency to be corrected. A satisfactory protocol must be developed in order to correct such deficiency and noted on the retro-commissioning report.	(x)	Training and documentation. On-site documentation in

	accordance with §28-308.3(3) of the Administrative Code must be verified and noted on the retro-commissioning report. Verification of training of critical operations and maintenance staff must be noted on the retro-commissioning report.
(g)	Contents of retro-commissioning report. In accordance with §28-308.3.1 of the Administrative Code, the retro-commissioning agent must prepare and certify a retro-commissioning report that satisfies the requirements of §28-308.3 of the Administrative Code and this rule. Such report must be retained by the owner in accordance with subdivision (j) of this section.
(h)	Contents of Energy Efficiency Report. An Energy Efficiency Report in accordance with §28-308.5 of the Administrative Code must be submitted to the department in accordance with §28-308.4 of the Administrative Code on forms prescribed by the department.
(i)	Multiple buildings. <div><div>(1)Multiple buildings on a lot. Two or more buildings on a lot that constitute a covered building in accordance with §28-308.1 of the Administrative Code are subject to an energy audit and retro-commissioning of base building systems as follows:<div><div>(i)Multiple buildings on a covered lot that are equipped with base building systems that are wholly separate from each other are subject to the requirements for an EER for each individual building.</div><div>(ii)Multiple buildings on a covered lot that share base building systems are subject to the requirements for an EER for each grouping of buildings that share base building systems.</div></div></div><div><div>(2)Multiple buildings on multiple tax lots that share systems. Two or more buildings on more than one tax lot that share base building systems are subject to the requirements for an EER for each grouping of buildings that share base building systems.</div><div>(3)Buildings on different blocks with shared base building systems. Two or more buildings on separate blocks that constitute a covered building in accordance with §28-308.1 of the Administrative Code are subject to the requirements for an EER for each grouping of buildings that share base building systems. The due date for the EER will be in the calendar year with a final digit that is the same as the last digit of the block number that is highest or with respect to a city building as defined in §28-308.1 of the Administrative Code in accordance with the schedule of the Department of Citywide Administrative Services.</div></div></div>
(j)	Record retention. Owners of covered buildings as defined in § 28-308.1 of the Administrative Code must maintain the Energy Audit Report required by §28-308.2.1 of the Administrative Code and the Retro-commissioning Report required by §28-308.3.1 of the Administrative Code as proof of energy audits and retro-commissioning as required in Article 308. Such records must be retained for eleven years from the required submission date and must be made available to the department upon request.
(k)	Fees. Owners of covered buildings must pay a filing fee as provided in §101-03 of these rules.
(l)	Extension of time to file report. <div><div>(1)An owner may apply for an extension of time to file an energy efficiency report if, despite good faith efforts, the owner is unable to complete the required energy audit and retro-commissioning prior to the due date of the report, for reasons other than financial hardship of the building. The application must be on a form provided by the department and must be filed by October 1 of the year in which the report is due.</div><div>(2)An owner may apply for annual extensions of time to file an energy efficiency report based on the financial hardship of the building. The application must be on a form provided by the department and must be filed by October 1 of the year in which the report is due and by October 1 of every subsequent year for which an extension is requested.</div></div>
(m)	Violation and penalty. Failure to submit an EER is a Major (Class 2) violation which may result in a penalty of \$3,000 in the first year and \$5,000 for each additional year until the EER is submitted to the department. The department will not accept any outstanding EER submission if outstanding penalties are not paid in full.

(n)	Challenge to violations.
(1)	An owner may challenge a violation issued pursuant to this section by providing: <div><div>(i)proof from the Department of Finance that the building in question is not a “covered building” as defined in section 28-308.1 of the Administrative Code; or</div><div>(ii)proof of early compliance with the filing requirements pursuant to section 28-308.7 of the Administrative Code; or</div><div>(iii)proof that the building is less than ten years old at the start of its first assigned calendar year; or</div><div>(iv)proof that the base building systems underwent substantial rehabilitation within the preceding ten years; or</div><div>(v)proof that the owner was granted an extension of time to file the report.</div></div>
(2)	Such challenge must be made in writing on a form provided by the Department within thirty days from the postmark date of the violation served by the Department.

§2. Subdivision (j) of section 102-01 of subchapter B of chapter 100 of Title 1 of the Rules of the City of New York is amended by adding, in numerical order, a new entry relating to Section 28-308.4 of the New York City Administrative Code as follows:

Section of Law	Classification	Violation Description
28-308.4	Class 2	Failure to file an energy efficiency report in accordance with section 28-308.4 or 28-308.7



CITY PLANNING

■ NOTICE

CONDITIONAL NEGATIVE DECLARATION	
Project Identification CEQR No. 11DCP022K ULURP No. 090154ZMK SEQRA Classification: Unlisted	Lead Agency City Planning Commission 22 Reade Street New York, NY 10007 Contact: Robert Dobruskin (212) 720-3423

Name, Description and Location of Proposal

Maple Lanes Views
The applicant, Fairmont Lanes, LLC, is proposing a zoning map amendment to rezone a property located at 1560 60th Street (Block 5516, Lot 34) from M1-1 to R6A. The property is bounded by 16th Avenue, 60th and 61st Streets and the Long Island Railroad Bay Ridge Division Line right-of-way in the Borough Park/Bensonhurst neighborhood of Brooklyn, Community District 12.

The proposed action would facilitate a proposal by the applicant to develop twenty-five, four-story and cellar residential townhouses and a community facility building. The 183,498 square foot (sf) development would contain 112 dwelling units and a 7,600 sf synagogue. 56 below-grade accessory parking spaces would be provided.

The rezoning area is currently occupied by the Maple Lanes bowling alley and is zoned M1-1. M1-1 districts permit Use Groups 4-14, and 16-17, which include light manufacturing and industrial uses with a FAR of 1.0 and an FAR of 2.4 for community facility uses. Residential uses are not permitted. Maximum building height is determined by a sky exposure plane, which begins at a height of 30 feet above the street line. Off-street parking requirements vary with the use.

The proposed rezoning would establish an R6A district on Block 5516, Lot 34. R6A districts allow all housing types and have a maximum FAR of 3.00. The maximum base and building height is 60 and 70 feet, respectively. After the base height, the building must set back by at least 10 feet on a wide street and 15 feet on a narrow street before rising to its maximum height. Off-street parking is required for 50% of the total dwelling units.

The affected lot for this project has an area of 72,704 square feet (sf) with a maximum allowable FAR of 1.0. The proposed rezoning would increase the allowable FAR to 3.0, thereby allowing a maximum Floor Area of 218,112 sf. In order to analyze the potential effects of the full available buildable square footage that could be constructed as a result of the proposed action, a development consisting of 182 dwelling units and 91 below-grade accessory parking spaces was analyzed for environmental purposes. Absent the proposed action, the current use would remain. The build year is 2014.

To avoid any potential significant adverse impacts, the applicant has entered into a Restrictive Declaration for hazardous materials for their property at Block 5516, Lot 34.

An (E) designation, (E-289), for noise would also apply to Block 5516, Lot 34, as described below.

The (E) designation for noise would preclude the potential for significant adverse impacts related to high levels of ambient noise. The text for the (E) designation for noise for the above properties is as follows:

In order to ensure an acceptable interior noise environment, future residential uses must provide a closed window condition with a minimum of 31 dB(A) window/wall attenuation on all facades in order to maintain an interior noise level of 45 dB(A). In order to maintain a closed-window condition, an alternate means of ventilation must also be provided. Alternate means of ventilation includes, but is not limited to, central air conditioning or air conditioning sleeves containing air conditioners.

Statement of No Significant Effect:

The Environmental Assessment and Review Division of the Department of City Planning, on behalf of the City Planning Commission, has completed its technical review of the Environmental Assessment Statement, dated August 29, 2012, prepared in connection with the ULURP Application (Nos. 090154ZMK). The City Planning Commission has determined that the proposed action will have no significant effect on the quality of the environment, once it is modified as follows:

1.
- The applicant agrees via a restrictive declaration to prepare a Phase II Environmental Assessment Statement (ESA), hazardous materials sampling protocol and health and safety plans, which would be submitted to the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) for approval. The applicant agrees to test and identify any potential hazardous material impact pursuant to the approved sampling protocols and, if any such impact is found, submit a hazardous material remediation plan including a health and safety plan to DEP for approval. If necessary, remediation measures would be undertaken pursuant to the remediation plans.

Supporting Statement:

The above determination is based on an environmental assessment which finds that:

1.
- A Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) was prepared for the project site. The Phase I ESA was reviewed by DEP’s Office of Environmental Planning and Assessment, and pursuant to a letter dated March 30, 2011 a Phase II Environmental Assessment Statement (ESA), hazardous materials sampling protocol and health and safety plan were recommended due to the potential presence of hazardous materials on the site as a result of past on-site and/or surrounding area land uses. As such, the applicant has entered into a restrictive declaration to ensure that a detailed Phase II testing would occur, and hazardous materials sampling protocol including a health and safety plan would be prepared, and is binding upon the property’s successors and assigns. The declaration serves as a mechanism to assure the potential for hazardous material contamination that may exist in the subsurface soils and groundwater on the project site would be characterized prior to any site disturbance (i.e., site grading, excavation, demolition, or building construction). The restrictive declaration was executed on June 6, 2011 and submitted for recording on February 24, 2012. Pursuant to a letter from DEP dated August 29, 2012, DEP is in receipt of a signed copy of a DEP-approved restrictive declaration with proof of recording for the site. Consequently, no significant adverse impacts related to hazardous materials will occur.
2.
- The (E) designation for noise would ensure that the proposed action would not result in significant adverse impacts due to noise.
3.
- No other significant adverse effects on the environment which would require an Environmental Impact Statement are foreseeable.

It is fully agreed and understood that if the foregoing conditions, modification, and alterations are not fully incorporated into the proposed action, this Conditional Negative Declaration shall become null and void. In such event, the applicant shall be required to prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement before proceeding further with said proposal.

Should you have any questions pertaining to this Conditional Negative Declaration, you may contact Jonathan Keller at (212) 720-3419.

This Conditional Negative Declaration has been prepared in accordance with Article 8 of the Environmental Conservation Law 6NYCRR part 617.

HOUSING PRESERVATION & DEVELOPMENT

■ NOTICE

**REQUEST FOR COMMENT
REGARDING AN APPLICATION FOR A
CERTIFICATION OF NO HARASSMENT**

Notice Date: September 11, 2012

**To: Occupants, Former Occupants, and Other
Interested Parties**

<u>Property: Address</u>	<u>Application #</u>	<u>Inquiry Period</u>
243 West 123rd Street, Manhattan	96/12	August 6, 2009 to Present
513 West 142nd Street, Manhattan	98/12	August 16, 2009 to Present
205 Edgecombe Avenue, Manhattan	100/12	August 17, 2099 to Present
107 West 118th Street, Manhattan	101/12	August 17, 2009 to Present
320 West 115th Street, Manhattan	102/12	August 20, 2009 to Present

Authority: SRO, Administrative Code §27-2093

Before the Department of Buildings can issue a permit for the

alteration or demolition of a single room occupancy multiple dwelling, the owner must obtain a “Certification of No Harassment” from the Department of Housing Preservation and Development (“HPD”) stating that there has not been harassment of the building’s lawful occupants during a specified time period. Harassment is conduct by an owner that is intended to cause, or does cause, residents to leave or otherwise surrender any of their legal occupancy rights. It can include, but is not limited to, failure to provide essential services (such as heat, water, gas, or electricity), illegally locking out building residents, starting frivolous lawsuits, and using threats or physical force.

The owner of the building identified above has applied for a Certification of No Harassment. If you have any comments or evidence of harassment at this building, please notify HPD at **CONH Unit, 100 Gold Street, 3rd Floor, New York, NY 10038** by letter postmarked not later than 30 days from the date of this notice or by an in-person statement made within the same period. To schedule an appointment for an in-person statement, please call **(212) 863-5277, (212) 863-8211 or (212) 863-8298.**

s11-18

REQUEST FOR COMMENT
REGARDING AN APPLICATION FOR A
CERTIFICATION OF NO HARASSMENT

Notice Date: August 11, 2012

To: Occupants, Former Occupants, and Other
Interested Parties

Property: Address	Application #	Inquiry Period
448 West 51st Street, Manhattan	97/12	August 15, 1997 to Present
Authority: Special Clinton District, Zoning Resolution §96-110		

Before the Department of Buildings can issue a permit for the alteration or demolition of a multiple dwelling in certain areas designated in the Zoning Resolution, the owner must

obtain a “Certification of No Harassment” from the Department of Housing Preservation and Development (“HPD”) stating that there has not been harassment of the building’s lawful occupants during a specified time period. Harassment is conduct by an owner that is intended to cause, or does cause, residents to leave or otherwise surrender any of their legal occupancy rights. It can include, but is not limited to, failure to provide essential services (such as heat, water, gas, or electricity), illegally locking out building residents, starting frivolous lawsuits, and using threats or physical force.

The owner of the building identified above has applied for a Certification of No Harassment. If you have any comments or evidence of harassment at this building, please notify HPD at **CONH Unit, 100 Gold Street, 3rd Floor, New York, NY 10038** by letter postmarked not later than 30 days from the date of this notice or by an in-person statement made within the same period. To schedule an appointment for an in-person statement, please call **(212) 863-5277, (212) 863-8211 or (212) 863-8298.**

s11-18

REQUEST FOR COMMENT
REGARDING AN APPLICATION FOR A
CERTIFICATION OF NO HARASSMENT

Notice Date: August 11, 2012

To: Occupants, Former Occupants, And Other
Interested Parties

Property: Address	Application #	Inquiry Period
551 Graham Avenue, Brooklyn	99/12	October 4, 2004 to Present
Authority: Greenpoint-Williamsburg Anti-Harassment Area, Zoning Resolution §§23-013, 93-90		

Before the Department of Buildings can issue a permit for the alteration or demolition of a multiple dwelling in certain areas designated in the Zoning Resolution, the owner must obtain a “Certification of No Harassment” from the Department of Housing Preservation and Development (“HPD”) stating that there has not been harassment of the

building’s lawful occupants during a specified time period. Harassment is conduct by an owner that is intended to cause, or does cause, residents to leave or otherwise surrender any of their legal occupancy rights. It can include, but is not limited to, failure to provide essential services (such as heat, water, gas, or electricity), illegally locking out building residents, starting frivolous lawsuits, and using threats or physical force.

The owner of the building identified above has applied for a Certification of No Harassment. If you have any comments or evidence of harassment at this building, please notify HPD at **CONH Unit, 100 Gold Street, 3rd Floor, New York, NY 10038** by letter postmarked not later than 30 days from the date of this notice or by an in-person statement made within the same period. To schedule an appointment for an in-person statement, please call **(212) 863-5277, (212) 863-8211 or (212) 863-8298.**

s11-18

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR

■ NOTICE

Notice of Intent to Issue New Solicitation Not Included in FY 2013 Annual Contracting Plan and Schedule

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Mayor will be issuing the following solicitation not included in the FY 2013 Annual Contracting Plan and Schedule that is published pursuant to New York City Charter § 312(a):

Agency: Fire Department of New York
Nature of services sought: Provide all reprographic and convenience copier management services at FDNY Headquarters.
Start date of the proposed contract: 2/1/2013
End date of the proposed contract: 7/31/2013
Method of solicitation the agency intends to utilize: Negotiated Acquisition Extension
Personnel in substantially similar titles within agency: None
Headcount of personnel in substantially similar titles within agency: 0

☛ s13

CHANGES IN PERSONNEL

HRA/DEPT OF SOCIAL SERVICES FOR PERIOD ENDING 08/17/12					
TITLE					
NAME		NUM	SALARY	ACTION	PROV
SHMAT	MARINA	10104	\$31828.0000	APPOINTED	NO
SHTEYN	VIKTORIY	10104	\$31828.0000	APPOINTED	NO
SHVETSOVA	SVETLANA	10104	\$31828.0000	APPOINTED	NO
SIMMONS	MARIA	L 10104	\$31828.0000	APPOINTED	NO
SIMMONS	SANDRA	D 10251	\$27697.0000	APPOINTED	NO
SPRAUVE	OLIVE	10124	\$51628.0000	RETIRED	NO
SU	HUI	F 40510	\$38303.0000	APPOINTED	NO
SUCATO	MEA	30087	\$77015.0000	INCREASE	YES
SUERO	SILVIA	M 10104	\$31828.0000	APPOINTED	NO
SUM	HALYNA	10104	\$31828.0000	APPOINTED	NO
SYKES	ADRIANNE	10124	\$45978.0000	PROMOTED	NO
TEJADA	ROBERT	10104	\$31828.0000	APPOINTED	NO
THOMAS	BARBARA	T 52316	\$50294.0000	PROMOTED	NO
THOMPSON	HOPE	10124	\$51445.0000	INCREASE	NO
THORNE	TRACEY	10026	\$93723.0000	INCREASE	YES
THORNE	TRACEY	10022	\$53373.0000	APPOINTED	YES
TORO	AUDELIZ	10104	\$31828.0000	APPOINTED	NO
TRAMBLE	DENISE	52316	\$50294.0000	PROMOTED	NO
TRIBBLE	EVELENA	12627	\$68466.0000	INCREASE	YES
TSANG	KATHIA	10104	\$31828.0000	APPOINTED	NO
VALENCIA	VICTOR	H 12626	\$60571.0000	INCREASE	YES
VASILENKO	VITA	10104	\$31828.0000	APPOINTED	NO
VASQUEZ	DEYBI	10124	\$45978.0000	PROMOTED	NO
VERICAIN	STEPHAN	40526	\$45282.0000	INCREASE	YES
VERICAIN	STEPHAN	10251	\$31852.0000	APPOINTED	NO
VILBRUN	FERERE	52316	\$50294.0000	PROMOTED	NO
VUGINSHTEYN	SVETLANA	10104	\$36602.0000	INCREASE	NO
VUGINSHTEYN	SVETLANA	10251	\$31852.0000	APPOINTED	NO
WASHINGTON	BARBARA	10124	\$45978.0000	PROMOTED	NO
WASHINGTON	MARLENE	H 10104	\$36602.0000	RETIRED	NO
WEST	CARMEN	M 10124	\$45978.0000	DISMISSED	NO
WHITE	KEWANA	S 10251	\$27697.0000	RESIGNED	NO
WILLIAMS	ENID	50960	\$80915.0000	RETIRED	YES
WILLIAMS	MARY	10251	\$38108.0000	RETIRED	YES
WONG	MAY	S 40510	\$44048.0000	APPOINTED	NO
WRIGHT	STACEY	10124	\$45978.0000	PROMOTED	NO
WYNNS	MIGUEL	52314	\$41133.0000	RETIRED	NO
YAU	CATHY PI	40526	\$42049.5000	APPOINTED	NO
ZAYAS	PABLO	10104	\$31828.0000	APPOINTED	NO
ZILBERVAR	YAKOV	10104	\$31828.0000	APPOINTED	NO

DEPT. OF HOMELESS SERVICES FOR PERIOD ENDING 08/17/12					
TITLE					
NAME		NUM	SALARY	ACTION	PROV
ABELIK	ELINA	52304	\$40224.0000	APPOINTED	NO
ANDREANO	JOSEPH	P 1002A	\$70466.0000	APPOINTED	YES
BERRIOS	GLADYS	M 56058	\$56040.0000	INCREASE	YES
BERRIOS	GLADYS	M 10251	\$28588.0000	APPOINTED	NO
BROWN	DONNA	A 10026	\$107268.0000	INCREASE	YES
CASTRO	ANA	30087	\$69085.0000	INCREASE	YES
COHEN	MARLENE	D 10251	\$30683.0000	APPOINTED	NO
DALLARA	THERESA	A 10251	\$30683.0000	APPOINTED	NO
DAVILA	DAVID	31113	\$49528.0000	RETIRED	NO
DAVIS	PAMELA	D 10124	\$51445.0000	INCREASE	NO
DELLECAVE	NICK	91972	\$339.5700	RETIRED	NO
DOLAN	KEVIN	91972	\$339.5700	INCREASE	YES
DOLAN	KEVIN	91915	\$322.0700	APPOINTED	NO
DONNELLY	JOHN	P 92071	\$312.9700	INCREASE	YES
DONNELLY	JOHN	P 92005	\$291.9700	APPOINTED	NO
EISENBERG	ERIN	H 12627	\$68466.0000	APPOINTED	NO
EYUBEH	ROBERT	10026	\$86593.0000	INCREASE	YES
GUTKOVICH	ALEXANDE	10022	\$80000.0000	APPOINTED	YES
HAKIM	NENA	70810	\$42332.0000	DISMISSED	NO
JENKINS	DOLORES	E 52304	\$40224.0000	APPOINTED	NO
JOHNSON	JAMES	W 70817	\$47093.0000	RETIRED	YES
JOHNSON	JAMES	W 70810	\$42332.0000	RETIRED	NO
KHALAF	ALISON	L 10026	\$83518.0000	INCREASE	YES
LE	VAN	A 12627	\$59536.0000	APPOINTED	NO
LOPEZ -FARROW	MADELYN	52304	\$34977.0000	APPOINTED	NO
MOKWUAH	IFEOMA	52304	\$34977.0000	APPOINTED	NO
NASH	SHONNETT	56057	\$37169.0000	APPOINTED	YES
NICHOLSON	LATEAK	K 70810	\$42332.0000	RESIGNED	NO
PALMER	YVONNE	F 52304	\$34977.0000	APPOINTED	NO
SOLMAYOR	ROGEL	1002A	\$68466.0000	INCREASE	YES
SONDE	DIANE	B 52304	\$40224.0000	APPOINTED	NO
TELLERIA	ALBERTO	F 10056	\$91936.0000	INCREASE	YES
TOORIE	PAULA	A 52304	\$40224.0000	APPOINTED	NO
TSE	AMY	10026	\$86343.0000	INCREASE	YES

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTION FOR PERIOD ENDING 08/17/12					
TITLE					
NAME		NUM	SALARY	ACTION	PROV
ALLEYNE	CARL	C 70467	\$98072.0000	RETIRED	NO
ALVAREZ	BRANDON	J 91916	\$235.2000	RESIGNED	NO

BARNES-OLIVER	MACHELLE	70410	\$76488.0000	RETIRED	NO
BARNETT	ALEXANDE P	70410	\$27542.0000	RESIGNED	NO
BELL	PATRICIA E	70467	\$98072.0000	RETIRED	NO
CAMPBELL	WINSOME A	70410	\$76488.0000	RETIRED	NO
COAD-ROGERS	KAREN J	70467	\$98072.0000	RETIRED	NO
DICARLO	CARL D	30087	\$97500.0000	APPOINTED	YES
DIMANCHE	REYNOLD	70410	\$76488.0000	RETIRED	NO
DRAPER	KEMEL	70410	\$76488.0000	RESIGNED	NO
GALLARDO	MARIE	70410	\$76488.0000	RETIRED	NO
GEORGE	MICHAEL T	70410	\$76488.0000	DECEASED	NO
HAMILTON	JAMIQUE	70410	\$39755.0000	TERMINATED	NO
HEYWARD	GEORGE J	70410	\$76488.0000	RETIRED	NO
HILL	FELICIA	70410	\$76488.0000	RETIRED	NO
JOHNSON	NICOLE Y	12158	\$56672.0000	APPOINTED	YES
JOHNSON	VANESSA	70410	\$76488.0000	RETIRED	NO
JOHNSON-SLEDGE	ANNE A	70410	\$76488.0000	RETIRED	NO
KEE	STEPHEN L	70410	\$76488.0000	RETIRED	NO
LEE-DOW	SHAREESE	70410	\$76488.0000	RESIGNED	NO
MATHIEU	MONALISA	60948	\$58307.0000	APPOINTED	YES
MEGNA	JERRY	70467	\$98072.0000	RETIRED	NO
MENDEZ	ANDREA	70410	\$39755.0000	RESIGNED	NO
MILLER	MARVIN C	90116	\$29677.0000	RESIGNED	YES
MIRELL	MARTIN C	70410	\$39755.0000	RESIGNED	NO
MOORE	JANICE	70410	\$76488.0000	RETIRED	NO
POCCHIA	MICHAEL	30087	\$95910.0000	RESIGNED	YES
PRESCOD	JAMAL	70410	\$39755.0000	TERMINATED	NO
PRESTIPINO	CAMILLE A	30087	\$95000.0000	RETIRED	YES
PTOMBEY	DOROTHEA J	70467	\$98072.0000	RETIRED	NO
RAFALANO	DANIEL	05058	\$53005.0000	RETIRED	YES
RAYE	CARLYLE D	70410	\$76488.0000	RETIRED	NO
REID	CRAIG A	70410	\$76488.0000	RETIRED	NO
SAAD	ATTA D	70410	\$39755.0000	RESIGNED	NO
SEVERSON	MAREISA E	70410	\$76488.0000	RETIRED	NO
SUBLETT	KATREEN	80760	\$50760.0000	APPOINTED	YES
THOMAS	MICHAEL J	70410	\$76488.0000	RETIRED	NO
TOROCOCCO	THOMAS A	70410	\$76488.0000	RETIRED	NO
VICARI	FRANK B	82984	\$110000.0000	APPOINTED	YES
WOO	STANLEY W	70410	\$76488.0000	RETIRED	NO
WOODS	JEMEL	70410	\$39755.0000	TERMINATED	NO

PUBLIC ADVOCATE FOR PERIOD ENDING 08/17/12					
TITLE					
NAME		NUM	SALARY	ACTION	PROV
CANAS	AMERICA	94327	\$66000.0000	INCREASE	YES
GARDINER	WARREN	60809	\$62500.0000	INCREASE	YES
KEATING	JESSICA R	94496	\$5000.0000	RESIGNED	YES
LEVIN	CHRISTIN	94496	\$30000.0000	APPOINTED	YES
NEWMARK	STEVEN R	94498	\$85000.0000	INCREASE	YES

CITY COUNCIL FOR PERIOD ENDING 08/17/12					
TITLE					
NAME		NUM	SALARY	ACTION	PROV
ALMADA	JUSTINE X	30183	\$45000.0000	RESIGNED	YES
DIAZ	GERALDIN L	94074	\$32000.0000	APPOINTED	YES
FRANK	SALLY M	94074	\$45000.0000	RESIGNED	YES
FREDENBURG	JULIA G	94074	\$33280.0000	APPOINTED	YES
HABERMAN	JEFFREY	94459	\$141434.0000	RETIRED	YES
HART	HEATHER C	94074	\$39000.0000	APPOINTED	YES
KROBER	KRISTIAN C	94074	\$30000.0000	RESIGNED	YES
LAM	CHIEN K	94074	\$33000.0000	RESIGNED	YES
LARTIN	DENIS	94074	\$8200.0000	RETIRED	YES
LEFKOVITS	YOEL	94074	\$15000.0000	APPOINTED	YES
LOWE	EMMA P	94074	\$32000.0000	APPOINTED	YES

CITY CLERK FOR PERIOD ENDING 08/17/12					
TITLE					
NAME		NUM	SALARY	ACTION	PROV
CARCIONE	WALTER	10020	\$68817.0000	INCREASE	YES
DANIEL	PETRA	10605	\$49575.0000	INCREASE	YES
DEAHN	TARA M	10209	\$12.0000	APPOINTED	YES
TU	VERA L	10251	\$30683.0000	APPOINTED	NO

DEPARTMENT FOR THE AGING FOR PERIOD ENDING 08/17/12					
TITLE					
NAME		NUM	SALARY	ACTION	PROV
CRESNAR	MARIANNE V	09749	\$7.2500	RESIGNED	YES
DINZES	ELYSA	10084	\$82372.0000	INCREASE	YES
MATTHEWS	ERNESTIN M	52441	\$2.6500	RESIGNED	YES
OBERFIELD	GABRIEL S	12627	\$68466.0000	APPOINTED	NO
RONG	REBA	09749	\$7.2500	RESIGNED	YES
SILVESTRE	YGNACIO	52313	\$64424.0000	INCREASE	YES
SIMPSON-ZAK	KRISTEN R	10084	\$92528.0000	INCREASE	YES

CULTURAL AFFAIRS						
FOR PERIOD ENDING 08/17/12						
		TITLE				
<u>NAME</u>		<u>NUM</u>	<u>SALARY</u>	<u>ACTION</u>	<u>PROV</u>	<u>EFF DATE</u>
RICHARDSON IV	EVANS	60495	\$48572.0000	RESIGNED	YES	08/03/12

FINANCIAL INFO SVCS AGENCY FOR PERIOD ENDING 08/17/12						
TITLE						
NAME		NUM	SALARY	ACTION	PROV	EFF DATE
BURDA	ZDENEK	10050	\$100000.0000	INCREASE	YES	07/29/12
CANEGALLO	SAVINO S	90753	\$238.8000	APPOINTED	NO	04/03/08
DI MARCO	VINCENT G	13621	\$49794.0000	RESIGNED	NO	08/03/12
ETIENNE	PRICE	10050	\$105000.0000	APPOINTED	YES	08/05/12
KEBEDE	MICHAEL H	10050	\$95000.0000	APPOINTED	YES	08/05/12
KENNEDY	VIVIENNE V	13632	\$90000.0000	INCREASE	NO	07/29/12
PATEL	ATULKUMA V	10050	\$120000.0000	APPOINTED	YES	08/05/12
PATEL	JAYESH	10050	\$120000.0000	APPOINTED	YES	08/05/12
THOMPSON	DEXTER S	13631	\$70000.0000	APPOINTED	YES	07/29/12
VIJAYAPURAM	SUMAN	10050	\$115000.0000	APPOINTED	YES	07/29/12

OFF OF PAYROLL ADMINISTRATION FOR PERIOD ENDING 08/17/12						
TITLE						
NAME		NUM	SALARY	ACTION	PROV	EFF DATE
FIRM	GARY E	13632	\$69097.0000	RESIGNED	YES	08/05/12
KATSOVICH	INNA	13632	\$89393.0000	APPOINTED	YES	08/05/12

DISTRICTING COMMISSION FOR PERIOD ENDING 08/17/12						
TITLE						
NAME		NUM	SALARY	ACTION	PROV	EFF DATE
CHENG	ZE MING	10050	\$120000.0000	APPOINTED	YES	07/22/12
GARTNER	ALAN P	10026	\$176099.0000	APPOINTED	YES	08/05/12

TAXI & LIMOUSINE COMMISSION FOR PERIOD ENDING 08/17/12						
TITLE						
NAME		NUM	SALARY	ACTION	PROV	EFF DATE
AKINLOLU	MOHAMMED S	95005	\$87660.0000	INCREASE	YES	08/05/12
ALI	ANIL	35116	\$34695.0000	TERMINATED	NO	08/02/12
CARNIVAL	PETER F	10026	\$75309.0000	INCREASE	YES	07/29/12
CARROLL	JOSEPH	35143	\$58000.0000	INCREASE	NO	08/05/12
CHHABRA	ASHWINI	10026	\$160000.0000	INCREASE	YES	08/05/12
DAWKINS	MICHAEL J	56057	\$37169.0000	APPOINTED	YES	07/29/12
DOLCE	JUSTIN S	56058	\$47000.0000	APPOINTED	YES	08/05/12
GONZALEZ	ADRIAN M	56058	\$65000.0000	INCREASE	YES	08/05/12
LORENZO	STACY A	10251	\$53978.0000	APPOINTED	NO	07/22/12
MILLER	DAWN M	56058	\$70000.0000	INCREASE	YES	08/05/12
ORTIZ	LORQUIAN M	10209	\$10.0000	APPOINTED	YES	08/01/12
PSOMAS	DANIEL L	35116	\$34695.0000	RESIGNED	NO	07/26/12

PUBLIC SERVICE CORPS FOR PERIOD ENDING 08/17/12						
TITLE						
NAME		NUM	SALARY	ACTION	PROV	EFF DATE
BROOKSTEIN	JOSHUA D	10209	\$10.7500	RESIGNED	YES	07/22/12
COATS	ENID S	10209	\$9.6000	RESIGNED	YES	07/29/12
DOMINIQUE	GUETTY	10209	\$7.5000	RESIGNED	YES	07/29/12
GARRICK	FANA	10209	\$9.0000	RESIGNED	YES	07/29/12
LINARES	ANA	10209	\$9.0000	APPOINTED	YES	06/15/12
PATEL	BARKHA	10209	\$10.3600	RESIGNED	YES	08/03/12
ZHENG	CHARLIE W	10209	\$9.0000	APPOINTED	YES	06/15/12

OFFICE OF LABOR RELATIONS FOR PERIOD ENDING 08/17/12						
TITLE						
NAME		NUM	SALARY	ACTION	PROV	EFF DATE
TORO	CYNTHIA	06408	\$46269.0000	APPOINTED	YES	08/05/12

HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION FOR PERIOD ENDING 08/17/12						
TITLE						
NAME		NUM	SALARY	ACTION	PROV	EFF DATE
ATKINS	KEVIN C	55038	\$67918.0000	RETIRED	NO	07/28/12

DEPT OF YOUTH & COMM DEV SRVS FOR PERIOD ENDING 08/17/12						
TITLE						
NAME		NUM	SALARY	ACTION	PROV	EFF DATE
BAIN	GENEVA T	40562	\$50752.0000	APPOINTED	NO	08/05/12
BISSETTE	KAREN	51402	\$55034.0000	DECREASE	YES	07/29/12
BRANCH	FELESIA	56058	\$52457.0000	INCREASE	YES	07/29/12
BRITTON	PATRICIA P	40561	\$49579.0000	TRANSFER	NO	07/02/12
CLARK	JEFFREY S	40561	\$49579.0000	TRANSFER	NO	07/02/12
DOMACASE	HEATHER A	51402	\$55034.0000	DECREASE	YES	07/29/12
ERENBURG	YESSICA P	51402	\$55034.0000	DECREASE	YES	07/29/12
HARRIS	THRESIA	51402	\$55034.0000	DECREASE	YES	07/29/12
JACKSON	ANDREW	51402	\$55034.0000	DECREASE	YES	07/29/12
LOVE	SHERRI M	40562	\$58365.0000	TRANSFER	NO	06/25/12
MORALES-MASON	MONIQUE	51402	\$55034.0000	DECREASE	YES	07/29/12
PACHECO	DAMIAN R	51402	\$55034.0000	DECREASE	YES	07/29/12
SALOMONSSON	NICLAS	40561	\$49579.0000	APPOINTED	NO	07/01/12
SERVAIS	RYAN D	30087	\$72000.0000	APPOINTED	YES	07/29/12
SHEBAR	AMY J	12627	\$68466.0000	INCREASE	NO	07/29/12
WANG	JOY C	40561	\$49579.0000	TRANSFER	NO	07/02/12

COURT NOTICE MAPS FOR NEW YORK CITY SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION AUTHORITY RE: TAX BLOCK 5342, LOTS 6, 8, 26, 28, 30, LOCATED IN THE BOROUGH OF BROOKLYN, IN CONNECTION WITH THE CONSTRUCTION OF P.S./S. 338K.

